

1

Research paper

2 **PARASITOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF DOMESTIC WATER SOURCES IN A**
3 **RURAL COMMUNITY IN NIGERIA**

4 Chollom, Solomon Chuwang¹; Bot, David Yakubu²; Bitrus, Joseph Gyang³; Abbah, Idoko
5 Michael⁴; Ujah Aloysius⁵, Agada, Godwin Ojonugwa⁶; Chukwu Doris Isioma⁶ Peter, Joan⁷ and
6 Okwori, Ameh Joseph⁶

- 7 1. Viral Research Department, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria
- 8 2. Biochemistry and Molecular biology Division, National Veterinary Research Institute,
9 Vom, Nigeria
- 10 3. Bacteriology Department, Federal College of Veterinary and Medical Laboratory
11 Technology, Vom, Nigeria
- 12 4. Haematology and Blood Group Serology Department, National Veterinary Research
13 Institute, Vom, Nigeria
- 14 5. Chemical Pathology Department, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria
- 15 6. Central Diagnostics Department, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria
- 16 7. Parasitology Division, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria

17 Corresponding author: Chollom Solomon Chuwang, Viral Research Department, National
18 Veterinary Research Institute, PMB 01, Vom, Nigeria. Email- cholloms_12@yahoo.com

19 **Abstract**

20 **Aim:** To evaluate the level of safety of water sources in a rural settlement in
21 Nigeria with bias to parasitic infections and to make appropriate
22 recommendations to the government and the community dwellers.

23 **Study Design:** Investigative study

24 **Place and duration of study:** Samples were collected in Heipang community in
25 Barkin Ladi Local Government Area of Plateau State, Nigeria between October-
26 December, 2012. They were processed at the General Laboratory of National
27 Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Nigeria.

28 **Methodology:** 100 water samples were collected from domestic water sources
29 in Heipang, Barkin Ladi Local Government Area of Plateau State, Nigeria. 10 of
30 the samples were from streams, 60 from ponds, 20 from wells and 10 were from
31 bore holes. Samples were investigated for presence of parasites using standard
32 World Health Organisation approved laboratory techniques. Each sample was
33 subjected to macroscopy, filtration, centrifugation and microscopy.

34 **Results:** It revealed that 59 out of 100 water sources investigated have parasitic
35 infestation. Ponds have the highest degree of parasitic contamination (78.3%),
36 streams followed closely with 50%, while wells and bore holes have 35% and 0%
37 in that order. Helminthes were the leading parasitic genera encountered with
38 *Ascaris lumbricoides* accounting for 33.9% of the parasites. Hookworm was the
39 second most common Helminth with 20.3% prevalence. *Strongyloides stercoralis*
40 accounted for a paltry 3.4% of the parasites. Protozoan cysts of *Balanditium coli*
41 and *Entamoeba histolytica* accounted for 18.6% of parasites each.

42 **Conclusion:** These findings clearly show that most water sources in Nigerian
43 rural communities constitute grave epidemiological threat to public health.
44 Inhabitants of such communities must boil or treat their water before
45 consumption while government authorities must move in provide safe drinking
46 water to the rural dwellers.

47 **Keywords:** Water, helminth, protozoa, prevalence, Nigeria

48

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Water has always been an important and life sustaining drink to man, it is
51 essential to the survival of all micro organisms. Water composes approximately
52 70% of the human body by mass. It is a crucial component of metabolic
53 processes and serves as a solvent for many body solutes, (Jeffrey, 2007).
54 Water is a liquid at ambient conditions but it often co-exists on earth with its solid
55 state, ice and gaseous state. Water also exists in a liquid crystal state near
56 hydrophilic surface, (Gerald, 2011).

57 Quality water should be free from chemical and biological contamination and
58 must be acceptable in terms of colour, taste and odour in accordance with the
59 World Health Organisation Guidelines on the quality of drinking water (WHO,
60 1993).

61 Wells, bore holes, ponds and streams need a great deal of protection from
62 pollution and contamination by potential parasites, micro-organisms and by
63 harmful chemical substances, unfortunately these water sources have become
64 sites for breeding and harbouring of many disease - causing agents, (Adams,
65 1999).

66 Water-borne diseases are usually acquired by the consumption of polluted water
67 containing human and animal faecal matter from patients or healthy carriers
68 (Cairncross and Feachem, 1993).

69 Human excreta are important sources of pathogenic organisms especially the
70 intestinal parasites which are equally significant in high morbidity in the general
71 population primarily caused by inadequate disposal of excreta and lack of
72 personal hygiene. Most urban and rural communities in the developing countries
73 do not have adequate disposal system for human waste, many of them defecate
74 indiscriminately in places not far from their dwelling places, on the soil and rocks,
75 by the sides of the streams, homes ponds and wells in some cases into the
76 streams (Adegoke, 2000). Furthermore, excreta from children and free roaming
77 animals are particularly hazardous and are a problem in both urban and rural
78 communities (Ukoli, 2000).

79 Excreta-related communicable diseases become a big problem in areas where
80 untreated human faeces are used as manure. These together with the human
81 faecal wastes that are indiscriminately deposited in the environment are regularly
82 washed into the communities' water bodies and water pollution becomes a big

83 problem with the result that fresh vegetables and water sources become highly
84 contaminated with pathogenic parasites. Consequently, the faecal oral route of
85 infection becomes very important and intestinal parasitism assumes enormous
86 proportion especially amongst indisciplined populations that are equally poor in
87 their personal and environmental sanitation (Fitzerpatrick and Kappos, 1999).

88 Efficient refrigeration and commercial canning have been very useful in
89 combating the problem of parasitic infection in the developed countries, but these
90 developments are outside the reach of most areas in the developed countries.
91 Fresh food items therefore come straight from the farms and gardens and water
92 is taken directly from streams and ponds for consumption without pretreatment to
93 kill parasites that may be present (Petters, 1986).

94 Parasitic infections cause various physiological disturbances in the host body. In
95 most species the third stage larva is responsible for infection of new host. The
96 infection of man and animal with these parasites is either by oral route or by
97 active penetration of unbroken skin, and this constitutes one of the public health
98 hazards in tropical Africa and the global world in general (Hassan, 1994).

99 Parasitic infections affect work and productivity as it is always associated with a
100 diminished capacity to carry out physical work. This is of great significance
101 because in many countries, hard physical work is the means by which families
102 grow their food (Vanden, 1984). WHO (1996) estimated that food and water
103 borne infectious diseases currently infect 3.5 billion people in developing
104 countries and cause about 160,000 deaths per year and 80% of these are
105 children less than 5 years of age. This study is therefore designed to evaluate the
106 parasitic hygiene of water sources in Nigerian communities using the Heipang
107 community as a case study

108

109

110

111

112

113 **2. Materials and Methods**

114 **2.1. Study Area**

115 Heipang is a growing community in Barking Ladi Local Government Area of
116 Plateau State, Nigeria. Over ninety percent of the people are peasant farmers
117 involved in crop and animal production. The population is ... Major water sources
118 are wells, streams, ponds and in isolated cases boreholes. In spite of the location
119 of the state airport, Polytechnic and the proposed in-land container port in the
120 area, government presence in terms of good roads, electricity, pipe-borne water
121 amongst others are only being enjoyed by less than one-quarter of the
122 community. As such, it serves as a template for a typical rural settlement in
123 Nigeria.

124 **2.2. Sample Collection**

125 100 water samples were collected all together in the entire length and breadth of
126 the community. 10 of the samples were from bore holes, 10 from wells, 60 from
127 ponds while 10 were from streams.

128 Clean glass specimen bottles were used to collect samples from streams,
129 boreholes and ponds. A public fetcher was used to draw water from wells into a
130 clean sample container. All the water samples were well labeled and transported
131 immediately to the laboratory for examination.

132 **2.3. Sample Processing**

133 Collected samples were examined both macroscopically for colour and presence
134 of adult parasites. For purpose of microscopy, concentration by centrifugation as
135 described by WHO (1991) was adopted. Briefly:

136 A gauze filter was placed into a funnel and then placed on top of a centrifuge
137 tube; the water samples in each container were shaken and passed through the
138 filter into separate tubes to reach the 10ml mark. The filter was then removed
139 and particulate materials present discarded as of bore holes water sample no
140 particles were found. The tubes were then transferred to the centrifuge tubes and
141 centrifuged for 5 minutes at a pre-determined 300rpm. After the period of
142 centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded by gently inverting the tubes
143 leaving the deposits in the tube. The tubes were placed on a rack and the fluid
144 on the sides allowed to drain down the sediments in tubes. Sediment were then
145 re-suspended. A drop of the deposits was placed on a clean slide for
146 examination under a cover slip.

147 **2.4. Direct Wet Preparation**

148 Using Pasteur pipette, the deposit was placed on a clean grease-free glass slide
149 and was covered with cover slip to avoid air bubbles and over floating. The
150 smear was viewed microscopically using x10 and x40 objective for focusing and
151 identification of parasites respectively.

152 **2.5. Iodine Preparation:**

153 A drop of Lugol's iodine was placed at the edge of the slide. The smear was
154 examined systematically under the microscope using x10 and x40 objectives for
155 focusing and identification of parasites respectively.

156 **2.6. Identification of Parasites**

157 Parasites were identified by the morphological structures of their cysts, ova or
158 larvae when focused under the microscope as documented by Cheesbrough
159 (2000).

160

161 **3.0. Results**

162 All the samples collected from boreholes in the locality were free from parasitic
163 infestation. However, samples from streams, ponds and wells had varied

164 degrees of parasitic contamination. Out of the one hundred (100) water samples
165 collected and examined, 59 of them had one parasite or the other giving an
166 overall prevalence of 59% in the study site (Table 1).

167 Water from ponds was the most contaminated with 78.3% positivity to parasites,
168 Well water had 35% of well water sources had parasites just as 50% of stream
169 water sources had parasite. However, water from boreholes was parasite-free
170 (Table 1).

171 On the whole, seven different parasites were encountered in this study. They
172 include *Ascaris lumbricoides* which accounted for 33.9% of all the parasites,
173 Hook worm (20.3%) *strongyloides stercoralis* (3.4%), *Giardia inestinals* (1.7%),
174 *Balantidium coli* (18.6%), *Entamoeba coli* (3.4%) and *Entamoeba histolytica*
175 (18.6%). Table II. Reveals that *A. lumbricoides* is the most widely distributed as
176 if was found in all but one location accounting to 90% spread in the locality,
177 Hookworm followed closely with 70% spread while *B.coli* and *E. histolytica* have
178 60% and 50% spread each. The least spread parasites are *G. intestinalis*, *S.*
179 *stercoralis* and *Entamoeba coli*.

180 Helminthes were the most prevalent genera accounting to 57.6% of all the
181 parasites recovered with protozoan parasites making up the remaining 42.4%.

182

UNDER PEER REVIEW

183

184

185 **Table I: Rate of Contamination of Water Sources by Parasites**

Water Source	No Positive	Percentage
186 Stream (<i>n=10</i>)	5	50.0
187 Pond (<i>n=60</i>)	47	78.3
188 Well (<i>n=20</i>)	7	35.0
189 Borehole (<i>n=10</i>)	0	0.0
191 Total <i>n=100</i>	59	59.0

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199 **Table II: Spread of parasites in all the locations investigated**

Name of parasite	Locations	Percentage
<i>A. lumbricoides</i>	9	90%
<i>S. stercoralis</i>	1	10%
<i>G. Intestinalis</i>	1	10%
<i>Hookworm</i>	7	70%
<i>B. coli</i>	6	60%
<i>E. coli</i>	1	10%
<i>E. histolytica</i>	5	50%

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207 **4.0. Discussion**

208 The outcome of this work indicates clearly that parasitic contamination of water
209 sources in rural communities in Nigeria. The rate of contamination varies
210 between stagnant and flowing water. The ponds in the study area included the
211 deep ponds that lasted throughout the year, shallow ponds last for up to about 6
212 months and dry up. Others were just water filling an existing depression which
213 might be for few weeks or days. However, since the ponds served as reservoirs
214 that collect run-off water from different routes; it therefore stands greater risk of
215 contamination, hence it recorded the highest number of pathogenic parasites.
216 The public health significance of these results is that the pathogenic parasites
217 may pose serious hazard to human health especially on the community dwellers;
218 like the farmers due to occupation and as children due to habits. Another
219 challenge is on people that use water for washing purposes and children that
220 walk around barefooted as the mode of transmission of some helminthes is by
221 the penetration of the unbroken skin, (Arora and Arora, 2010).

222 Exactly half the number of streams investigated had on parasitic infestation or
223 the other. This is attributed to the fact that streams are regularly being
224 contaminated with faecal materials and sewage due to indiscriminate defecation
225 and rain water. During the course of this work, human faecal wastes were found
226 around the sides of some streams, ponds and wells visited.

227 35% of wells were infested with parasites. This is due to lack of toilet facilities in
228 most of the communities. Also most wells in these communities are usually left
229 opened.

230 Water samples from boreholes were found to be free from parasites. This is
231 largely attributed to their make-up. Unlike other sources that are opened to
232 external contamination, boreholes are operate a water system that is closed. This
233 therefore asserts that parasitic infestation of water sources is contaminative in
234 nature. It has been previously explained that human parasites do not directly use
235 water bodies for life cycle development. Instead their vectors inhabit water
236 bodies thereby associating their transmission to water bodies and certain water
237 foods (Chollom et al., 2012). Government authorities can therefore improve the

238 quality of life in these communities by making available more borehole and pipe-
239 borne water facilities.

240 Primarily, the risk from intestinal parasitic infections is assumed to be from
241 hookworm and *A. lumbricoides*, but the prevalence of helminthes in this study
242 ranked higher than those of other parasites. This is in agreement with the
243 observation made by Okwonkwo, (2000). The 18.6% prevalence of *B. coli* in this
244 study is traceable to the fact that a good percentage of the inhabitants of the
245 study area are into swine production and since the protozoa in question are of
246 worldwide distribution and commonly infect pigs, it then means that the
247 contamination probably arose from water contaminated with faecal material from
248 swine which are mostly raised in semi-intensive or extensive system in this
249 community.

250 *Ascaris lumbricoides* with prevalence of 33.9% ranked highest than other
251 helminthes. It was closely followed by *Hookworm* (20.3%) and *S. stercoralis*
252 (3%). The outcome is not different from that of Griffin and Krishnas (1998). He
253 also concluded that the occurrence of intestinal parasites in water sources is
254 prevalent among poor people in remote rural communities who lack proper toilet

255 facilities, adequate supply of portable drinking water and poor sewage and waste
256 disposal systems.

257 The implications of these findings therefore suggest that parasitic organisms are
258 having a viable ecosystem in remote settles in Nigeria where toilet facilities,
259 pipeborne water, poor sewage disposal, and illiteracy thrive the most. The
260 combined effect of which exposes the innocent inhabitants, their children and
261 animals to a cycle of endless parasitism resulting in low productivity and high
262 morbidity and mortality rates in most cases (Adam, 1999).

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

UNDER PEER REVIEW

283 **REFERENCES**

- 284 Adams J., (1999): Managing water supply and sanitation in emergencies,
285 Oxfam, Oxford.
- 286 Adegoke A., (2000): The Challenges of Environmental Management in Africa.
287 The Nigeria Experience. *An International Journal of Medical*
288 *Science*. 1(2)13.
- 289 Arora D. R., and Arora B., (2010): Textbook of Medical Parasitology, 3rd edition
290 Pg. 185 and 210. Published by: Satish K. Jain and Produced by
291 Vinod K. Jain.
- 292 Cairncross S., and Feachem R., (1993): Environmental Health Engineering in the
293 Tropics: An Introductory Text, 2nd edition John Wiley, Chichester.
294 Pg. 120.
- 295 Chance M.M., L., and Evans D.A., (1999): The agent in Gillers H.M., (Ed):
296 The agent in Gillers H.M., (Ed): Protozoal Disease Arnold, London,
297 Page: 420.
- 298 Fitzpatrick M and Kappos A., (1999): Environment Health Services in
299 Thailand. Desire, Bangkok. Pg. 211.

300 Gerald Pollack "Water. University of Washington Pollack Laboratory. Retrived
301 2011-02-05. Water has three phase-gas, liquid, and solid, put
302 recent findings from our laboratory imply the presence of a
303 surprisingly extensive fourth phase that occurs at interfaces".

304 Griffin G. and Krishnas N., (1998) CME. Infectious disease, *Journal of the Royal*
305 *College of Physicians, London* 32(3-4).

306 Hassan S.I., (1994): Parasitic infection in primary and secondary schools in Giza
307 government. Orutes Egypt. *Journal of Egyptian Society of*
308 *Parasitology*. 24(3) 597-601.

309 Jeffrey Utz M.D.. The Madsci Network (2007): Re: What percentage of the
310 human body is composed of water?

311 Petters, W., (1986): Comments and discussions II on medical aspects in the
312 relevance of parasitology of human welfare today. Eds. A.E.R.,
313 Taylor and R. Muller 1b Pg. 25-40, Symp. British Soc. Parait.

314 Ukoli F.A.M., (2000): Sanitation in Africa: Nigeria. *An International Journal of*
315 *Medical Science* 1(2).25-28.

316 Vanden, Bossche (1984): Economic aspects of parasitic diseases; *social*

317 WHO (1991): World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking water quality.
318 Health criteria and other supporting information. 2 Pg. 39-45.
319 WHO (1996): World Health Organisation; Catalogue on health indicators.
320 Geneva-
321 <http://www.Northampton.ac.uk/ner/who/indicators/wbd.s.html>.
322 WHO (2008): "World Health Organization. Safe Water and Global Health" Who.
323 Int. 2008-06-25. Retrieved 2010-07-025.
324